

# Fuzzy Soft Ternary $\Gamma$ -Semirings-IV

**T.Satish**

*Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, A.P, INDIA  
Department of Mathematics, SRKR Engineering College, Bhimavaram, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA*

**D.Madhusudhana Rao**

*Department of Mathematics, VSR & NVR College, Tenali, Guntur (Dist), Andhra Pradesh, INDIA*

**K.Praveen Kumar**

*Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, A.P, INDIA*

**M.SajaniLavanya**

*Department of Mathematics, A.C College, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA*

## ABSTRACT

In this paper we define fuzzy soft prime t-ideal(FSP tideal), completely prime t-ideal(CP tideal), fuzzy soft completely prime t-ideal(FSCP tideal), zero symmetric ternary  $\Gamma$ -semiring and we proved that if  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal over F then  $f_x(p)$  for all  $x \in F_1$  and  $f_x(p)$  taking exactly 2 values and a Fuzzy Soft(FS) Set  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  over F is a FSP tideal over F iff for each  $f_x$  where  $x \in F_1, (f_x)_t, t \in \text{Im } f_x$  is a prime tideal of F. and also for each  $x \in F_1, N = \{p \in F / f_x(p) = f_x(0)\}$  is a CP tideal of F if  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSCP tideal over F.

**Keywords:** Fuzzy soft ternary  $\Gamma$ - Semiring (FST $\Gamma$ -SR), Fuzzy soft tideal, Fuzzy soft prime tideal, Fuzzy soft completely prime tideal.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The fuzzy set concept was introduced by Zadeh [18] in 1965. In the year 2001 SS theory extended to FSS theory by Maji et.al.[9]. The authors in their earlier papers have introduced FST $\Gamma$ -SR, FS tideal, FS quasi tideals, FS bi-ideals and FS interior tideals & their basic algebraic properties in FST $\Gamma$ -SR. In this paper the authors are trying to define fuzzy soft prime tideals.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

For preliminaries of fuzzy soft ternary  $\Gamma$ - Semiring refer to references [19], [20]..

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

#### Fuzzy soft prime t ideals:

Def 3.1: Let F be a FSTΓ-SR and  $F_2, F_3, F_4$  be subsets of  $F_1 \subseteq E$  (E is a parameter set of F), then a FS t ideal  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP t ideal over F if it is not a constant & if  $\exists$  non-absolute FS t ideals  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma), (i, F_4, \Gamma)$  over F such that  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (i, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \Rightarrow$  either  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or  $(h, F_3, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or  $(i, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ .

Ex 3.2: Let  $F = \{0, p, q, r\}$ ,  $\Gamma = \{o, r\}$ . and define  $[ ]$  on F by  $[p\alpha q\beta r] = (p\alpha q)\beta r$  for every  $p, q, r \in F$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  where '+' and '.' are defined as follows

+	0	p	q	r
0	0	p	q	r
p	p	0	r	q
q	q	r	0	p
r	r	q	p	0

Table 1

.	0	p	q	r
0	0	0	0	0
p	0	0	0	0
q	0	0	0	0
r	0	p	q	r

Table 2

Then  $(F, +, [ ], \Gamma)$  is a FSTΓ-SR and  $\{0, p\}$  &  $\{0, q\}$  are t ideals of F.

Now consider the t ideals  $P = \{0\}$ ,  $Q = \{0, p\}$ ,  $R = \{0, q\}$  in F, then  $[P\Gamma Q\Gamma R] \subseteq \{0\}$  and  $P \subseteq \{0\}$  and then  $\{0\}$  is a prime t ideal of F. Again if  $E = F$ . Let  $F_1 = E$ ,  $F_2 = \{0\}$ ,  $F_3 = \{0, p\}$ ,  $F_4 = \{0, q\}$ . Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma), (g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  defined as

$$f_x(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p = 0 \\ 0.9, & \text{if } p \neq 0 \end{cases} \text{ for every } x \in F_1, \quad g_y(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p = 0 \\ 0.1, & \text{if } p \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$h_z(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s = 0 \\ 0.7, & \text{if } s = q, r \end{cases} \quad r_w(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s = 0, q \\ 0.8, & \text{if } s = p, r \end{cases} \text{ for all } y \in F_2, z \in F_3, w \in F_4$$

then  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma)$  are all FS t ideals over F.

Obviously if  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (j, F_4, \Gamma) = (k, F_5, \Gamma)$  where

$$F_5 = F_2 \cap F_3 \cap F_4 = \{0\}, \text{ then for } l \in F_5$$

$$k_l(v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v = [p\alpha q\beta r] \\ 0, & \text{if otherwise} \end{cases} \text{ Hence } (g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ and}$$

$(g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ . Thus  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP t ideal of F.

Def 3.3: An t ideal H of an FSTΓ-SR F is called a CP t ideal of F if for  $p, q, r \in F$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,  $[p\alpha q\beta r] \in H \Rightarrow$  either  $p \in H$  or  $q \in H$  or  $r \in H$

Ex 3.4: Let  $F=\{0,p,q,r\}$ ,  $\Gamma=\{0,r\}$  and  $[ \ ]$  be defined by  $[p\alpha q\beta r]=(p\alpha q)\beta r$  for  $p,q,r \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . where  $+$  and  $\cdot$  are defined as follows

+	0	p	q	r
0	0	p	q	r
p	p	0	r	q
q	q	r	0	p
r	r	q	p	0

Table 3

$\cdot$	0	p	q	r
0	0	0	0	0
p	0	0	0	0
q	0	0	q	q
r	0	0	q	q

Table 4

then  $\{0,p\}$  is a CP tideal of  $F$ .

Def 3.5: A FS tideal  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  over  $F$  is called a FSCP tideal if for all  $p, q, r \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ ,  $f_x([p\alpha q\beta r]) \leq \max\{f_x(p), f_x(q), f_x(r)\}$ .

Ex 3.6: As in Ex 3.4 Let  $E=F$ , let  $F_1= \{0, 3\}$  Define  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  as follows. For every  $p \in F$

$$f_x(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p = 0, 3 \\ 0.8, & \text{if } p \neq 0, 3 \end{cases} \text{ for every } x \in F_1, \text{ then } (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ is a FSCP tideal over } F.$$

Def 3.7: Let  $F$  be a ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semiring then  $N_0 = \{n \in [n00] = 0\}$  is zero symmetric (ZS) of  $F$ . If  $F=N_0$  then  $F$  is called a ZS ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semiring.

Th 3.8: If  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSS over  $F$  and if  $x \in F_1$ ,  $N = F_{f_x(0)} = \{p \in F / f_x(p) = f_x(0)\}$  then  $N$  is a prime tideal of  $F$  if  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal over  $F$ .

Proof: Let  $F_1 \subseteq E$  (Parameter set of  $F$ ). Since  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FS tideal over  $F$ ,  $N$  is a tideal of  $F$ . Let  $P, Q, R$  be tideals of  $F$  such that  $[P\Gamma Q\Gamma R] \subseteq N$  --(1)

Let  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma)$  be FSSs over  $F$ . Where  $F_2, F_3, F_4$  are subsets of  $F_1$  for  $p, q, r \in F$

$$\text{Define } g_y(p) = \begin{cases} f_x(0) & , \text{if } p \in P \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, h_z(q) = \begin{cases} f_x(0) & , \text{if } q \in Q \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, j_w(r) = \begin{cases} f_x(0) & , \text{if } r \in R \\ 0 & , \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \text{ for all } y \in F_2, z \in F_3, w \in F_4$$

then by Th 3.18 [19]  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma)$  are FS tideals over  $F$ . Now we have to prove that  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ .

i.e, it is enough to show that  $(g_y \circ h_z \circ j_w)(v) \leq f_x(v)$  for all  $v \in F$ . obviously  $F_2 \cap F_3 \cap F_4 \subseteq F_1$ . If  $v \in F$  then we have i)  $v \in N$  ii)  $v \notin N$

(i) Let  $v \in N$  then  $v = 0$  or  $v \neq 0$ . If  $v=0$  then as  $F$  is zero symmetric  $(g_y \circ h_z \circ j_w)(v) = f_x(0)$

$\Rightarrow (g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) = f_x(v)$  for all  $v \in F$ . If  $v \neq 0$  then  $(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) = f_x(0)$  or 0 depending on  $v$  is expressed as  $[p\alpha q\beta r]$  or not. In either case as  $v \in N$ ,  $f_x(v) = f_x(0) > 0$ . Hence  $(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) \leq f_x(v)$ .

(ii) Suppose  $v \notin N$  then  $f_x(v) \neq f_x(0)$ . since  $f_x(0) > 0$  and  $f_x(v) \neq f_x(0)$ . we have  $f_x(v) = 0$  or  $f_x(0) > 0$ . Also since  $v \notin N$  if  $v = [p\alpha q\beta r]$  then by (i) either  $p, q, r$  are not in  $P, Q, R$  respectively or at least one of  $p, q, r$  is in not respectively in  $P, Q, R$ .

Thus  $(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) = \sup\{g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r)\} = 0 \leq f_x(v)$ . So from the above we have

$$(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) \leq f_x(v) \text{ for all } v \in F. \text{ Hence } (g, F_2, \Gamma) o (h, F_3, \Gamma) o (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma). \text{ Since } (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ is a FSP tideal over } F \text{ either } (g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ or } (h, F_3, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ or } (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma).$$

Let  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  and  $P$  is not a subset of  $N$ . Then there exists  $p \in P$  and  $p \notin N \Rightarrow f_x(p) \neq f_x(0)$ . But  $f_x(0) \geq f_x(p)$ . [Since  $f_x(0) = f_x(p - p) \geq \min\{f_x(p), f_x(p - p)\}$ ] Thus  $f_x(p) \leq f_x(0)$ . Now  $g_y(p) = f_x(0) > f_x(p)$ .

which contradicts the assumption  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \Rightarrow P \subseteq N$ , Similarly

$(h, F_3, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or  $(j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \Rightarrow F_2 \subseteq T$  or  $F_3 \subseteq T$ . Therefore  $N$  is a Prime tideal.

Corollary3.9: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSS over  $F$  and  $N = F^1_{f_x} = \{p \in F / f_x(p) = 1\}$  then  $N$  is a prime tideal of  $F$  if  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal over  $F$

Proof: In the above theorem take  $f_x(0) = 1$  in  $F_{f_x}^{f_x(0)}$

Th 3.10: If  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal over  $F$  then  $f_x(0) = 1$  for all  $x \in F_1$

Proof: Let  $f_x(0) < 1$ . Since  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is not a constant,  $\exists p \in F_1$  such that  $f_x(p) < f_x(0)$

Define  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma)$  where  $F_2, F_3, F_4$  are subsets of  $F_1$ .

$$\text{for } p, q, r \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma. g_y(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f_x(p) = f_x(0) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad h_z(q) = f_x(0)$$

$$j_w(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } f_x(r) = f_x(0) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{for each } y \in F_2, z \in F_3, w \in F_4 \text{ then}$$

$(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  are all FS tideals over  $F$ .

Since  $(g_y)(0) = 1 > f_x(0) > f_x(p), (h_z)(0) = f_x(0) > f_x(p),$

$(j_w)(0) = 1 > f_x(0) > f_x(p), (g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  are not subsets of  $(f, F_1, \Gamma) \dots (1)$

Now we prove that  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) o (h, F_3, \Gamma) o (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  (i.e) to prove  $(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) \leq f_x(v)$  for every  $v \in F$ . obviously  $F_2 \cap F_3 \cap F_4 \subseteq F_1$ . If  $v \in F$  then we have (i)  $v = 0$  (ii)  $v \neq 0$

(i) If  $v = 0 \Rightarrow f_x(v) = f_x(0)$   
 $(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) = \sup\{f_x(0), 0\}$  then  $(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) = f_x(0) = f_x(v)$  for every  $v \in F$   
 (ii) Let  $v \neq 0$  and  $v \neq [p\alpha q\beta r]$  then  $(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) = 0 \leq f_x(v)$ . If  $v = [p\alpha q\beta r]$ ,  
 $(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) \neq 0$  and if  $g_y(p)$  or  $h_z(q)$  is zero, then  $g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r) = 0$  and hence  
 $(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) = 0 \leq f_x(v)$ .

Also if  $g_y(p)$  and  $j_w(r)$  are non-zero  $g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r) = f_x(0) = f_x(p) \leq f_x(v)$  by the definitions of  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  is a FS tideal. Hence from the above

$(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) \leq f_x(v)$  for all  $v \in F$ . Thus  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) o (h, F_3, \Gamma) o (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$   
 Since  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal then  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or  $(h, F_3, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or  $(j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ , which contradicts (i). So  $f_x(0) = 1$ .

If the range of  $f_x$  is singleton then  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a constant tideal. Hence if  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a non-constant FS tideal then  $f_x$  takes more than one value of F.

Th 3.11: Let  $H (\neq \phi)$  be subset of a  $FST\Gamma-SR$  F and  $\Pi_H$  be the characteristic function of H, then

H is a tideal of F iff  $\Pi_H$  is a tideal of F. Where  $(\Pi_H)_e(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in H \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin H \end{cases}$  for all  $e \in E$ .

Proof: Let  $H (\neq \phi)$  be a tideal of a  $FST\Gamma-SR$  F. Let  $p, q, r \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $p + q \in H$ ,  $p\alpha q\beta r \in H$  if  $r \in H$ , it follows that  $(\Pi_H)_e(p + q) = 1$  and  $(\Pi_H)_e(p\alpha q\beta r) = 1 = (\Pi_H)_e(r)$ . If  $q \notin H$ , then  $(\Pi_H)_e(r) = 0$ . In this case  $(\Pi_H)_e(p\alpha q\beta r) \geq 0 = (\Pi_H)_e(r)$ . Therefore  $(\Pi_H)_e$  is a FS left tideal of F. Similarly we can prove that  $(\Pi_H)_e$  is a lateral as well as right FS tideal of F. So  $(\Pi_H)_e$  is a FS tideal of F.

Conversely, suppose that  $(\Pi_H)_e$  be a FS tideal of F. Let  $p, q \in H$ , then if  $p, q \in H \Rightarrow (\Pi_H)_e(p) = (\Pi_H)_e(q) = 1$  and  $(\Pi_H)_e(p + q) \geq \min\{(\Pi_H)_e(p), (\Pi_H)_e(q)\} = \min\{1, 1\} = 1$ . Thus  $p + q \in H, (\Pi_H)_e(p) = (\Pi_H)_e(q) = 1$ . Now let  $p \in H, s, t \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $(\Pi_H)_e(p) = 1$  also  $(\Pi_H)_e(s\alpha t\beta p) \geq (\Pi_H)_e(p) = 1$ . Thus  $s\alpha t\beta p \in H$ .

Thus H is a left tideal of F.

In the same way, we prove that H is a lateral, right tideal of F.  $\Rightarrow$  H is a tideal of F.

Th3.12: If  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal over F then for  $x \in F_1, f_x(p)$  taking exactly 2 values.

Proof: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSP tideal over F. Then  $f_x(0) = 1$ . To prove,  $f_x(p)$  takes only 2 values.

Suppose  $f_x(p)$  takes more than two values. Then  $\exists p, q \in F$  such that  $f_x(p) = s, f_x(q) = t$ . For the sake of definiteness, let  $0 < s < t < 1$ . Now, let  $P = \{p \in F / f_x(p) \geq s\}, R = \{r \in F / f_x(r) \geq t\}$   
 Then P and R are tideals of F Th 3.18 [19]. Let  $F_2, F_3,$  be subsets of  $F_1$  and define  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  over F as follows

$$g_y(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \in P \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad h_z(p) = \frac{s+t}{2} = l(\text{say}) \quad j_w(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \in R \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for every  $y \in F_2, z \in F_3, w \in F_4$ . Then by Th 3.11  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  are FS t ideals over F. Hence  $(h, F_3, \Gamma)$  is a FS t ideal over F. Since  $r > 0, (g, F_2, \Gamma) \neq (1, E)$

To establish that  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  i.e  $(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) \leq f_x(v)$

for all  $v \in F$ . Since  $u \in F$  then we have (i)  $v = 0$  (ii)  $v \neq 0$

(i) If  $v = 0 \Rightarrow f_x(0) = f_x(v) = 1$  Since  $r < 1 = f_x(0), f_x(0) > r$  and hence  $0 \in P$  and therefore,  $g_y(0) = 1$ . Obviously  $h_z(0) = 1$ . Since  $t < 1 = f_x(0), f_x(0) > t$  and hence  $0 \in R$  and therefore  $j_w(0) = 1$ . Thus if  $v = 0, (g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) = 1$  or  $l$ . Since  $l < 1 = f_x(0) = f_x(v)$ ,

$$(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) \leq f_x(v).$$

(ii) Let  $v$  be any non-zero element of F such that  $f_x(v) \geq t$ . Then  $v \in Z \Rightarrow j_w(v) = 1 \Rightarrow$ . Obviously  $h_z(v) = l$ . Since  $f_x(v) \geq t > r, g_y(v) = 1$ . Thus  $g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r) = 1 \wedge l \wedge 1 = l$ .

$\Rightarrow (g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) = l < t \leq f_x(v)$ . Now, let  $v$  be any non-zero element of F such that  $f_x(v) < t$ . Then  $j_w(v) = 0$ . Then  $\Rightarrow (g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) = 0 \leq f_x(v)$ . Thus, in both the cases

$$(g_y oh_z oj_w)(v) \leq f_x(v), \forall v \in F. \text{ Hence } (g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma).$$

Now we have  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FS Prime t ideal, either  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or

$$(h, F_3, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ or } (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ --- (1)}$$

Since  $f_x(p) = r, g_y(p) = 1 > r \Rightarrow g_y(p) > f_x(p)$ . Implies  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  Similarly

$(h, F_3, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  Which contradicts (1). Hence  $f_x(p)$  cannot take more two values in  $[0, 1]$ .

Th 3.13:  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FS set over F and  $f_x(0) = 1$ . If  $F^1_{f_x} = \{p \in F / f_x(p) = 1\}$  is a t ideal of F, then  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FS t ideal over F.

Proof: Let  $r, s \in F^1_{f_x}$  then  $f_x(r) = 1$  and  $f_x(s) = 1$ . As  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FST $\Gamma$ -SR and

$r, s \in F, f_x(r+s) \geq \min\{f_x(r), f_x(s)\} = 1$ . But as we know that  $1 \geq f_x(r+s)$ . Hence

$f_x(r+s) = 1$  which implies that  $r+s \in F^1_{f_x}$ . If  $F^1_{f_x}$  is a FS t ideal over F, for each

$x \in F_1, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, r \in F$ . Let  $1 = f_x(r)$  then  $r \in F^1_{f_x}$ . Since  $F^1_{f_x}$  is a t ideal over F, then

$s \alpha r \beta t \in F^1_{f_x}$  for each  $s, t \in F$ . This means that  $f_x(s \alpha r \beta t) \geq f_x(r) = 1$  i.e  $f_x$  is a FS lateral

t ideal of F. Similarly we can prove that  $f_x$  is a FS left as well as right t ideal, and so  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FS t ideal over F.

Th 3.14: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FS set over F and for  $x \in F_1, |\text{Im } f_x| = 2$  and  $f_x(0) = 1$  and  $N = \{p \in F / f_x(p) = 1\}$  is a prime t ideal of F then  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP t ideal over F

Proof: By Th 3.13, if N is a t ideal of F then  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FS t ideal over F.

Let  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  be FS t ideals over F where  $F_2, F_3, F_4 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq E$  with  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) - (1)$ . suppose  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$

$(h, F_3, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ . Then  $g_y(p) > f_x(p), h_z(q) > f_x(q), j_w(r) > f_x(r) - (2)$  for some  $p, q, r \in F$ . But  $f_x(p) = 1 = f_x(0) \forall p \in F$ . From (2)  $p, q, r \notin N$ . ( $\because$  if  $p, q, r \in N$  then  $f_x(p) = 1 = f_x(q) = f_x(r)$  which from (2) will imply that  $g_y(p) > 1, h_z(q) > 1, j_w(r) > 1$  which is impossible). Since  $p, q, r \notin N, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, [p\alpha q\beta r] \notin N \Rightarrow f_a([p\alpha q\beta r]) \neq 1$ . Now, consider,

$$\begin{aligned} (g_y \circ h_z \circ j_w)(v) &= \bigvee_{v=[p\alpha q\beta r]} g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r) \text{ from (1)} \\ f_x(v) = f_x([p\alpha q\beta r]) &\geq \bigvee_{v=[p\alpha q\beta r]} g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r) \\ &\geq g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r) \\ &> f_x(p) \wedge f_x(q) \wedge f_x(r) \quad \text{--- (3)} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\text{Im } f_x| = 2$  and  $f_x(p) \neq 1, f_x(p) = s$ . Similarly  $f_x(q) = s = f_x(t)$ . Also  $f_x(v) \neq 1$  and hence  $f_x(v) = s$ . Thus from (3) we get  $s > s$ , a contradiction. So  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or  $(h, F_3, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or  $(j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ . Therefore  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP t ideal over F.

Th 3.15: (i) A subset  $H (\neq \emptyset)$  of F is a prime t ideal iff  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP t ideal over F where

$$f_x(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \in H \\ t, & \text{if } p \notin H \end{cases}, 0 \leq t < 1 \text{ for every } x \in F_1.$$

(ii) In particular an t ideal I of F is a prime t ideal iff  $(\psi_I, D)$  be a FSP t ideal over F where

$$\psi_I : D \rightarrow I^F \text{ is defined by } (\psi_I)_d(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \in I \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, 0 \leq t < 1 \text{ for all } d \in D.$$

Proof: Let H is a prime t ideal of F. Let  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  be FS t ideals over F where  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) - (1)$

Suppose  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  Then

$$g_y(p) > f_x(p), h_z(q) > f_x(q), j_w(r) > f_x(r) \text{ for some } p, q, r \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

Obviously  $f_x(p) \neq 1, f_x(q) \neq 1$  and  $f_x(r) \neq 1$ . Hence  $f_x(p) = t, f_x(q) = t$  and  $f_x(r) = t$

$$\Rightarrow p, q, r \notin H \Rightarrow [p\alpha q\beta r] \notin H, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma - (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(v) = f_x([p\alpha q\beta r]) &\geq \bigvee_{v=[p\alpha q\beta r]} g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r) \\ &\geq g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r) \\ &> t \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow f_x([p\alpha q\beta r])=1 \Rightarrow [p\alpha q\beta r] \in H$  Which is a contradiction to (2) and so  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ or } (h, F_3, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ or } (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ . Therefore  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal over F.

Now, suppose  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSP tideal over F. Let P, Q, R be the tideals of F such that  $[P\Gamma Q\Gamma R] \subseteq H$ . Let  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  be FS sets over F defined by  $g_b(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \in P \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$   $h_c(q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } q \in Q \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$   $j_d(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \in R \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  for every  $b \in F_2, c \in F_3$  and  $d \in F_4$ . Then  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  are FS tideals over F and it can be established that  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  ---(3)

$$\Rightarrow (g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ or } (h, F_3, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ or } (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma) \text{ ---(4)}$$

Suppose  $P \not\subseteq H, Q \not\subseteq H, R \not\subseteq H$ , then  $\exists p \in P, q \in Q, r \in R$  such that  $p \notin H, q \notin H, r \notin H \Rightarrow g_b(p) = 1 = h_c(q) = j_d(r) \Rightarrow g_b(p) = 1 > t = f_a(p) \Rightarrow (g, F_2, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ . Similarly,  $(h, F_3, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  contradicting (4). Hence H is a prime tideal of F.

The particular case (ii) is obtained from (i) by putting  $H = I$  and  $t = 0$ .

Th 3.16: A FS set  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  over F is a FSP tideal over F iff for every  $f_x$ , where  $x \in F_1, (f_x)_t, t \in \text{Im } f_x$  is a prime tideal of F.

Proof: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSP tideal over F. Let P, Q, R be tideals of F such that  $[P\Gamma Q\Gamma R] \subseteq (f_x)_t$ . Let  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  be FS sets over F

$$\text{and } g_y(p) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } p \in P \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad h_z(q) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } q \in Q \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad j_w(r) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } r \in R \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Where  $t \in \text{Im } f_x, F_2, F_3, F_4 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq E$  and  $y \in F_2, z \in F_3, w \in F_4$ . Then  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma)$  are FS tideals over F and also  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ . since  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal over F.  $\Rightarrow (g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or  $(h, F_3, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or  $(j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  ----- (1). Suppose  $P \not\subseteq (f_x)_t$ . Then  $\exists p \in P$  but  $p \notin (f_x)_t \Rightarrow f_x(p) < t$ . Now  $g_y(p) = t (\because p \in P) > f_x(p) \Rightarrow (g, F_2, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ . Similarly  $(h, F_3, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  contradicting to (1). Hence  $(f_x)_t$  is a prime tideal of F.

Now, let  $(f_x)_t$  is a prime tideal of F. Let  $F_2, F_3, F_4 \subseteq F_1 \subseteq E$  such that  $(g, F_2, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma)$  and  $(j, F_4, \Gamma)$  are FS tideals and  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \circ (h, F_3, \Gamma) \circ (j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ .

Suppose  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma), (h, F_3, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma), (j, F_4, \Gamma) \not\subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  -----(2)

Then  $g_y(p) > f_x(p), h_z(q) > f_x(q), j_w(r) > f_x(r)$  for  $p, q, r \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Obviously  $f_x(p) \neq 1, f_x(q) \neq 1, f_x(r) \neq 1$ . Let  $f_x(p) = s = f_x(q) = f_x(r)$ .

$$\Rightarrow g_y(p) > s, h_z(q) > s, j_w(r) > s.$$



$$\begin{aligned} \text{But } f_x(v) &\geq \bigvee_{v=[p\alpha q\beta r]} g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r) \\ &\geq g_y(p) \wedge h_z(q) \wedge j_w(r) \\ &> t \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow [p\alpha q\beta r] \in (f_x)_s \Rightarrow [(g_y)_s \Gamma (h_z)_s \Gamma (j_w)_s] \subseteq (f_x)_s$ . Which is a prime tideal.

$\therefore (g_y)_s \subseteq (f_x)_s$  or  $(h_z)_s \subseteq (f_x)_s$  or  $(j_w)_s \subseteq (f_x)_s \Rightarrow p \in (g_y)_s \Rightarrow p \in (f_x)_s$

$\Rightarrow g_y(p) \geq s \Rightarrow f_x(p) \geq s$ . Which contradicts (2). Hence  $(g, F_2, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or

$(h, F_3, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$  or  $(j, F_4, \Gamma) \subseteq (f, F_1, \Gamma)$ . Hence  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal over F.

Remark 3.17: If an tideal H of a CP tideal of a FST $\Gamma$ -SR F then H be a prime tideal of F.

Th 3.18: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSS over F, then for  $x \in F_1, N = \{p \in F / f_x(p) = f_x(0)\}$  is a CP tideal of F if  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSCP tideal over F.

Proof: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSCP tideal over F. Then N is a tideal over F.

Suppose  $[p\alpha q\beta r] \in N$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ , then  $f_x([p\alpha q\beta r]) = f_x(0)$ . But  $f_x([p\alpha q\beta r]) \leq \max\{f_x(p), f_x(q), f_x(r)\} \Rightarrow f_x(0) \leq \max\{f_x(p), f_x(q), f_x(r)\} \Rightarrow f_x(0) \leq f_x(p)$  or  $f_x(0) \leq f_x(q)$  or  $f_x(0) \leq f_x(r)$ . But  $f_x(0) \geq f_x(p)$  for  $p \in F$ . Hence  $f_x(p) = f_x(0)$  or  $f_x(q) = f_x(0)$  or  $f_x(r) = f_x(0)$ .  $\Rightarrow p \in N$  or  $q \in N$  or  $r \in N$ . So N is a prime tideal

Note 3.19: The converse of the Th 3.18 holds if  $|\text{Im } f_x| = 2, x \in F_1$ .

Th 3.20: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FS set over F. Let  $|\text{Im } f_x| = 2$  and  $f_x(0) = 1$  and if  $N = \{p \in F / f_x(p) = 1\}$  is a CP tideal then  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSCP tideal over F.

Proof: Proof is similar to Th 3.14.

Th 3.21: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FS set over F, then  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSCP tideal over F iff for  $x \in F_1, |\text{Im } f_x| = 2, f_x(0) = 1$  and  $N = \{p \in F / f_x(p) = 1\}$  is a CP tideal of F.

Lemma 3.22: A non empty subset H of F is an tideal of F iff  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FS tideal over F, where

$$f : F_1 \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is defined by } f_x(p) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } p \in H \\ t, & \text{if } p \in F - H \end{cases} \text{ Where } r > t \text{ for all } x \in F_1.$$

Th 3.23: A non empty subset H of F is a CP tideal of F. If  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSCP tideal over F. where

$$f : F_1 \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is defined by } f_x(p) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } p \in H \\ t, & \text{if } p \notin H \end{cases} \text{ Where } s > t \text{ and } t \in [0, 1] \text{ for all } x \in F_1.$$

Proof: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSCP tideal over F, then by lemma 3.22, H is a tideal of F. Let

$\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $[p\alpha q\beta r] \in H$ , then  $f_x([p\alpha q\beta r]) = s \Rightarrow \max\{f_x(p), f_x(q), f_x(r)\} \geq s$

$\Rightarrow$  either  $f_x(p) \geq s$  or  $f_x(q) \geq s$  or  $f_x(r) \geq s$ .  $\Rightarrow p \in H$  or  $q \in H$  or  $r \in H$ . So H is a CP tideal of F.

Now, Let  $H$  is a CP tideal. By Lemma 3.22,  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FS tideal over  $F$ . Suppose  $f_x([p\alpha q\beta r]) \succ \max\{f_x(p), f_x(q), f_x(r)\}$ , then by definition of  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$ ,  $f_x([p\alpha q\beta r]) = s$  and  $f_x(p) = t = f_x(q) = f_x(r)$ . Thus  $[p\alpha q\beta r] \in H$  but  $p \notin H, q \notin H, r \notin H$ . which is a contradiction to  $H$  is a CP tideal of  $F$ . Hence  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSCP tideal over  $F$ .

Corollary 3.24: A subset  $H (\neq \emptyset)$  of  $F$  is a CP tideal iff the characteristic function  $(\Psi_H, D)$  is a FSCP tideal over  $F$ , where  $(\Psi_H)_d : D \rightarrow H^F$  is defined by  $\Psi_H(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p \in H \\ 0, & \text{if } p \notin H \end{cases}$  for all  $d \in D$ .

Proof: In the Th 3.23 take  $s = 1, t = 0$  then the proof follows by Th 3.23.

Th 3.25: Let  $F_1 \subseteq E(\text{Parameter set})$  of an  $FST\Gamma$ -SR  $F$ . Then a FS set  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  over  $F$  is a FSCP tideal  $\Rightarrow$  for each  $f_x$ , where  $x \in F_1, (f_x)_t, t \in \text{Im } f_x$  is a CP tideal of  $F$ .

Th 3.26: Let  $H$  be a CP tideal of  $F$ . For  $t \in (0, 1) \exists$  a FSCP tideal  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  over  $F$  such that  $(f_x)_t = H$  for each  $x \in F_1$ .

Proof: Let  $H$  be a CP tideal of  $F$ . Let  $t \in (0, 1)$ . Define  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  over  $F$  by  $f_x(p) = \begin{cases} t, & \text{if } p \in H \\ 0, & \text{if } p \notin H \end{cases}$  Then by Th 3.11  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FS tideal over  $F$ . If  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is not

FSCP tideal then  $\exists p, q, r \in F, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma \ni f_x([p\alpha q\beta r]) \succ \max\{f_x(p), f_x(q), f_x(r)\}$ .

Now, we have  $f_x(p) = 0 = f_x(q) = f_x(r)$  and  $f_x([p\alpha q\beta r]) = t$ . Thus,  $[p\alpha q\beta r] \in H$  but  $p \notin H, q \notin H, r \notin H$ . which contradicts that  $H$  is a CP tideal of  $F$ . Hence  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSCP tideal over  $F$ . obviously,  $(f_x)_t = H$  for each  $x \in F_1$ .

Th 3.27: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSCP tideal over  $F$  with  $|\text{Im } f_x| = 2, f_x(0) = 1$  for all  $a \in F_1$ , then  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal over  $F$ .

Proof: Let  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  be a FSCP tideal over  $F$  such that  $|\text{Im } f_x| = 2, f_x(0) = 1$ , hence by Remark 3.17,  $N = \{p \in F / f_x(p) = 1\}$  is a CP tideal. By Th 3.20,  $T$  is a prime tideal of  $F$ . Hence by Th 3.21,  $(f, F_1, \Gamma)$  is a FSP tideal over  $F$

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper we introduced the notations of FSP tideals and FSCP tideals in  $\Gamma$ -Semirings and characterized them.

#### 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are very much grateful to Dept. of Mathematics S.R.K.R Engineering College, Bhimavarm and Dept. of Mathematics VSR &NVR College, Tenali, Guntur (Dist) A.P for their support to publish this Paper.

## REFERENCES

1. S. Abou-Zaid, On fuzzy sub near-rings and ideals, *Fuzzy sets and systems*, 44, 139–143(1991).
2. A.Aygun, H.Aygun, Introduction to fuzzy soft group, *Comput. Math.Appl.* 58, 1279-1286(2009).
3. P.K Das,R.Borgohain, An application of fuzzy soft set in medical diagnosis using fuzzy arithmetic operations on fuzzy number, *SIBCOLTEJO*, 05, 107-116(2010).
4. Y.B.Jun, H.S.Kim, A Characterization theorem for Fuzzy prime ideals in near-ring, *Soochow journal of mathematics*, 28(1), 93-99(2002).
5. S. Kar, Ternary Semiring: An Introduction, *VDM Verlag Dr.Muller*, ISBN: 10-3639004019(2002)
6. A. Khralm B.Ahmad, Mappings on Fuzzy Soft classes, *Advances in Fuzzy systems*, doi:10.1155/2009/407890 (2009).
7. D.H Lehmer, A ternary analogue of abelian groups, *Amer.J of Math*, 54,329-338(1932).
8. P.K. Maji, R. Biswas, A.R.Roy, Fuzzy soft sets, *The Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics*, 3(9), 589-602 (2001).
9. P.K Maji,A.R. Roy, An application of fuzzy soft set in decision making problem, *Comp. Math. Appl.*, 44, 1077-1083(2002).
10. C. Meera, M. Pushpa, An application of fuzzy soft set in knowledge representation and retrieval of pasurams of thiruppavai, Proc. *ICMEB, Chennai*, India, 399-404(2012).
11. D. Molodtsov, Soft Set Theory, First Results, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 37, 19-31(1999).
12. G. Pilz, Near rings, *Mathematic studies* 23, *North Holland Publishing Company* (1983).
13. M.L. Santiago, Some contributions to the study of ternary semigroups and semiheps, *Ph. D Thesis, University of Madras* (1983).
14. F.M. Sioson, Ideal theory in ternary semigroup, *Math.Jap*, 10, 63-84(1964).
15. K.B. Srinivas, Bh. Satyanarayana, S.P. Kuncham, C-Prime Fuzzy Ideals of Near Rings, *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, 33(4),891-901(2007).
16. A. Uma Maheswari, C. Meera, On Fuzzy soft Right Ternary Near-rings, *International Journal of Computer Applications* (0975-8887), 57(6) (2012).
17. Warud Nakkhasen and Bundit Pibaljomme, L-fuzzy ternary sub nearings, *International Mathematics Forum*, 7(41), 2045-2059 (2012).
18. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Inform and Control*, V8, 338-353(1965).
19. T.Satish, D. Madhusudhana Rao, M.Vasantha, K. Anuradha, Fuzzy Soft Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semirings-I, *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, Vol. 8, Issue-1S3, 325-327 (2019).
20. T. Satish, D. Madhusudhana Rao, P. Siva Prasad, M.Vasantha, Fuzzy soft Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semirings-II, *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, Vol. 9, Issue-1S5,328-331(2019).
21. B. Ravi Kumar, D. Madhusudhana Rao, T. Satish, B.Sankara Rao, Soft Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semirings-II, *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, Vol.8,Issue-1S3, 328-331(2019).
22. K. Revathi, D. Madhusudhana Rao, P.Sundarayya and T.Satish, A Study on Fuzzy  $T\Gamma$ -ideals in Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semirings, *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, Vol.7(3.31),160-162(2017).
23. K. Revathi, D. Madhusudhana Rao, P.Sundarayya and T.Satish, On Completely Prime and Fuzzy  $T\Gamma$ -ideals in Ternary  $\Gamma$ -Semirings, *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, Vol.7(3.31),163-167(2017).